LUISS

Degree Program in Business Administration

Course of Organizational Behavior

Well-Being at Work: Can Organizational Support Prevent Employee Burnout?

Prof. Nicole A. Alonso, Ph.D

SUPERVISOR

Michela Garavito-Salini 286401

CANDIDATE

Academic Year 2024/2025

Abstract

Burnout is recognized as a serious psychological and organizational challenge in work environments, affecting how employees perform, feel, and commit to their roles (Moss, 2019). Burnout, which is characterized by emotional exhaustion, detachment, and feeling unproductive (Maslach & Jackson, 1981), has strong consequences. It has been associated with negative outcomes such as employee absenteeism, reduced engagement, lower levels of productivity, and higher turnover rates (Leiter & Maslach, 2009). In recent years, burnout has been described as a reaction to consistent interpersonal stressors at work, involving extreme fatigue, a negative attitude towards work, and a strong feeling of being ineffective (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). Since burnout continues to impact the well-being of employees and how organizations perform, identifying different strategies to prevent it has become a major priority for researchers in management and organizational behavior.

This thesis explores how organizational support can help prevent employee burnout, using the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model as the main theoretical framework. The JD-R model defines burnout as a result of job demands consistently exceeding the support and resources employees have access to (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Organizations that support their workers by providing emotional, practical, and structural support are more likely to build resilience and protect employee well-being. This study adopts a literature-based methodology based on eleven peer-reviewed sources, including different theoretical articles, recent empirical studies and applied organizational research.

The findings of the thesis show that specific organizational practices, such as giving employees more autonomy, promoting good leadership, and fostering good collaboration amongst coworkers, can reduce the risks of burnout (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014; Bakker, Demerouti, & Sanz-Vergel, 2014; Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2018). Moreover, employees who feel supported by their organization are more likely to develop resilience under stress, feel committed to their work, and maintain better mental health (Schaufeli, 2017). Overall, this thesis suggests that preventing burnout is a shared responsibility between individuals and workplaces. Practical recommendations are also provided for managers and

HR professionals to build more sustainable and supportive workplaces that encourage employee wellness over time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Background and Relevance

Burnout is now recognized as a serious challenge in work environments, affecting employees and organizations across all industries. The concept was first developed by Maslach and Jackson (1981), who described it as a syndrome involving emotional exhaustion, detachment from others, and having a reduced sense of personal achievement. It has been associated with several negative outcomes, including absenteeism, lower job performance, and higher turnover rates (Leiter & Maslach, 2009). In more recent studies, burnout is described as a response to constant interpersonal pressures at work that lead to emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and a lower sense of being effective (Maslach & Leiter, 2016).

As companies face increasing job demands, constant technological changes, and increasing expectations from employees regarding mental health and work-life balance, understanding the factors behind burnout and how it can be prevented is now more important than ever. Burnout is no longer seen as an individual issue or weakness, but rather as an organizational problem that requires action. In particular, the role of organizational support, such as providing different emotional, instrumental, and structural resources, has been identified as one of the most important factors to promote resilience and prevent burnout (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014).

The theoretical basis for this thesis is the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). This framework suggests that burnout develops when job demands are high and not properly balanced by the available resources needed to support employees. According to the JD-R model, organizational support is a key resource that can help avoid the negative effects of high job demands and promote well-being at work. This study will explore how different forms of organizational support can influence burnout outcomes, providing valuable insights that are based on theory and relevant to use in modern organizations.

1.2 Research Question and Objectives

The research question guiding this thesis is:

"How can organizational support, as conceptualized in the Job Demands-Resources model, help prevent employee burnout and promote well-being at work?"

The main objectives of this thesis are:

- To provide a definition of burnout using both classical and updated academic sources.
- To present the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model as the theoretical framework for understanding burnout and organizational support.
- To identify and categorize different types of organizational support (emotional, instrumental, structural) and assess their effectiveness for preventing burnout.
- To use critical thinking and analyze research on organizational support strategies, using evidence from empirical studies and recent theoretical developments.
- To provide practical recommendations for managers, leaders, and human resources professionals aimed at promoting well-being and preventing burnout in workplaces.

1.3 Importance for Business Administration and Organizational Behavior

This research is important for business administration and organizational behavior. Burnout has a direct impact on organizational performance; it is associated with lower employee engagement, creativity, and commitment, often leading to higher turnover intentions (Maslach & Leiter, 2016; Schaufeli & Taris, 2014; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). For this reason, understanding how to prevent burnout through organizational support is not just a matter of helping employees but also an important consideration for the overall business strategy.

In business administration, how organizations manage their people is critical to achieving a competitive advantage. The insights of this thesis can help influence leadership practices, HR policies, and organizational culture initiatives that prioritize employee welfare as a primary cause of stronger productivity and long-term success. Within the field of organizational behavior, this research can help us understand how workplace resources, social support, and leadership influence psychological

outcomes such as burnout and engagement (Bakker, Demerouti, & Sanz-Vergel, 2014; Schaufeli, 2017).

This thesis uses the Job Demands-Resources model as its analytical framework, which shows us a modern perspective on how the employee experience is shaped by the balance between their job demands and the available resources for support, instead of being shaped by the personality of each individual or their coping strategies.

1.4 Thesis Structure

The following thesis is divided into six chapters. After this introduction, Chapter 2 will outline the theoretical framework and present the main concepts used throughout the analysis, focusing specifically on the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). This chapter will define elements such as job demands, job resources, and burnout, while also explaining how organizational support fits into the JD-R model as a key factor to help prevent exhaustion.

Chapter 3 will provide a literature review and analyze more recent academic findings on burnout, its main causes, and the role of organizational support in promoting employee well-being. The discussion will highlight different forms of organizational support, such as emotional, instrumental, and structural, as well as how these forms of assistance can reduce the negative impact of having high job demands.

Chapter 4 will explain the methodological approach used for this thesis. This study is based on existing literature; therefore, the chapter will explain the selection criteria for the sources, focusing on peer-reviewed academic articles, meta-analyses, and theoretical frameworks published in academic journals. It will also explain the strategy used to compare, analyze, and incorporate findings from the different studies in a coherent and structured way.

Chapter 5 presents the core analysis and the discussion of the thesis. This chapter connects the theoretical framework and the evidence from the literature, identifying common themes and patterns,

and sharing practical implications on how organizational support can prevent the issue in an effective way.

Finally, Chapter 6 will conclude the thesis with a summary of the main insights, a discussion of the study's limitations, and offer recommendations for future research and realistic measures organizations can adopt to improve employee mental health and reduce burnout risks.

Chapter 2: Theoretical Framework

2.1 Definition of Burnout

Burnout has been a major topic of research within occupational psychology for several decades now, and its definition keeps evolving. According to Maslach and Jackson (1981), burnout is considered to be a psychological syndrome formed by three main pillars, which are: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and a reduced sense of personal accomplishment. Emotional exhaustion refers to the loss of emotional resources and feeling drained or saturated due to work responsibilities. Depersonalization occurs when employees start to feel disconnected from their work or develop a cynical attitude towards their work environment or clients. Finally, reduced personal accomplishment refers to a feeling of incompetence and a lack of achievement at work.

Recent studies define burnout as a response to chronic interpersonal stressors on the job, which later lead to intense exhaustion, negative attitudes toward work (cynicism), and a sense of being ineffective (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). Although the original definition of burnout also came from Maslach (Maslach & Jackson, 1981), this current study shows a more updated understanding of burnout. It emphasizes the social and organizational context of burnout, rather than viewing it as an individual experience. In response to work environments becoming more complex and demanding, burnout is now recognized as an organizational challenge that has an impact on employee well-being, engagement, and organizational outcomes (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014).

Since employee mental health is becoming more and more important, understanding what factors lead to burnout and how it can be prevented has become essential. Organizations seeking to maintain a healthy and productive workforce are making this a top priority.

2.2 The Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model

The theoretical framework guiding this thesis is the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model. The concept was first developed by Demerouti et al. (2001) and later improved by Bakker and Demerouti

(2007). According to the JD-R model, all aspects of work environments can be categorized into two specific groups, which are job demands and job resources.

Job demands refer to the physical, psychological, social, or organizational aspects of a job that involve continuous physical or mental efforts, and are therefore associated with physiological or psychological costs. Some examples of these demands include heavy workloads, time pressure, emotional struggles, and unclear job roles that make it difficult for employees to understand their responsibilities. Although job demands are not completely negative, over time, they can become overwhelming and lead to stress and burning out.

On the other hand, Job Resources refer to aspects of the job that are effective in achieving work goals, reducing job demands, and encouraging personal growth, learning, and development. These resources are found at organizational levels (e.g., salary, career opportunities), the interpersonal levels (e.g., social support from colleagues and supervisors), and task levels (e.g., role clarity, autonomy) (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007).

According to the Job Demands-Resources model, burnout occurs from a consistent imbalance between high demands and the available resources (which are also limited). Resources are not only "nice to have"; they are essential for dealing with the high demands of work. When resources are abundant and available, they can help reduce the impact of tough job demands and promote higher levels of engagement and resilience amongst employees. However, when resources are limited or lacking, employees are more exposed to stress and exhaustion, which ultimately leads to the development of burnout.

Over the years, the JD-R model has been strongly supported by empirical evidence and has been applied across different industries and occupations, including healthcare, education, customer service, and technology (Bakker, Demerouti, & Sanz-Vergel, 2014; Schaufeli, 2017). What makes this model flexible is that it does not uses fixed categories of demands and resources, but instead the model defines them depending on the context. For example, in the healthcare industry, emotional tensions and time pressure are some of the main job demands, while support from colleagues and autonomy act as key

resources or support systems. In contrast, in the tech industry, constant innovation and performance pressures can be balanced through the implementation of flexible work policies or helpful feedback practices. The adaptability of the JD-R model makes it especially useful to understand how different types of organizational support work across different sectors to prevent burnout and promote the welfare of workers.

2.3 Organizational Support as a Resource in the JD-R Model

Within the Job Demands-Resources framework, organizational support is viewed as an essential job resource that can play a very important role in preventing emotional and physical exhaustion of employees. Organizational support includes a variety of practices, structures, and relationships that aim to provide employees with the tools and the proper environment they need to manage their high job demands effectively and protect their well-being.

There are several types of organizational support found to be important. First of all, emotional support refers to the ways in which supervisors and colleagues show empathy, build trust, and offer understanding, especially during times of stress or high pressure. Second, instrumental support focuses on providing practical, tangible help, such as access to necessary resources, any relevant training, or adjusting workloads to make job demands more manageable and fair. Finally, structural support refers to the organizational policies and cultures that promote a good work-life balance, employee autonomy, overall fairness, and participation (Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2018).

Studies show that when employees perceive their organization as supportive, they are more resilient when dealing with workplace stressors, stay more engaged in their duties, and are less likely to experience burnout (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014; Chiaburu & Harrison, 2008). Resources like supportive leadership, fair distribution of tasks, peer-to-peer collaboration, and recognition from the organization can help reduce the pressure against high work demands.

Additionally, organizational support also helps us understand the relationship between job demands and the performance outcomes of workers. High job demands do not always lead to burnout if enough useful

resources are available to counterbalance them. Therefore, organizations that invest in building strong, structured, and supportive environments are not only protecting the mental health of their employees, but also enhancing their overall performance, employee loyalty, and sustainable success for companies.

In summary, organizational support is considered to be one of the most influential types of job resources within the Job Demands-Resources model. It not only helps reduce the emotional and psychological challenges caused by intense job demands, but it also helps employees feel valued and supported in their work environment. What makes support truly effective is not just the presence of it, but instead how it is used and integrated every day in organizations. For example, through leadership behaviors, interactions between colleagues, and company policies. When employees perceive that their organization consistently invests in their physical and mental health, they are more likely to stay engaged, motivated, and resilient when facing work difficulties. This makes organizational support a preventive measure and also a strategic tool that can shape the workplace culture and influence performance in the long run. According to the JD-R framework, burnout is not just a result of too many demands, but often a clear sign of poor organizational support, and that is something firms can directly address or tackle.

Chapter 3: Literature Review

3.1 Understanding the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model

The Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model is one of the most important frameworks to understand employee satisfaction and burnout. The model was first developed by Demerouti et al. (2001) and later improved by Bakker and Demerouti (2007). It is based on a simple but very flexible idea: every job, regardless of industry or level, has different demands and resources. The balance or imbalance between these two elements has a big impact on determining if employees feel connected to their work or burned out by it.

To begin, job demands refer to the actual parts of work that require a lot of effort. These efforts can be physical, emotional, cognitive, or psychological, such as time pressures, emotional labor, heavy workloads, or constant interruptions. Some high job demands are not negative; in fact, they can be motivating if employees have the right resources to manage. However, when there are way too many demands that grow over time and there are not enough resources to help, these demands begin to decrease the levels of energy and motivation of the employees.

Then, job resources are the aspects of a job that help people deal with demands, stay motivated, and feel fulfilled. Resources can include simple things like support from colleagues, receiving feedback, access to tools or information, opportunities for learning, and fair leadership. All of these can help reduce the impact of everyday pressures, boost the motivation and engagement of employees. This way, resources protect against burnout and enhance the performance of the entire organization.

One of the reasons why the Job Demands-Resources model is used so much is its adaptability. Some frameworks focus only on specific roles or industries, but the JD-R model can be applied in almost any work setting. What we can consider a “demand” or a “resource” depends on the context. For example, for nurses, the biggest challenge might be dealing with emotions; for a software developer, it could be unclear instructions or constant updates that cause the most stress. Likewise, the most effective resources will vary depending on the job. This makes the JD-R model especially useful for organizations trying to understand what their employees need specifically to succeed.

When the JD-R model is in balance, meaning that people have the support they need to handle their job, employees are more likely to feel motivated, healthy, and stay engaged. Workers feel supported, able to manage their daily tasks, and stay positive about their role. Nonetheless, when job demands consistently exceed the resources available, stress becomes a bigger problem. Over time, this lack of balance can lead to classic symptoms of burnout such as exhaustion, cynicism, and a reduced feeling of personal accomplishment (Maslach & Leiter, 2016).

Essentially, the Job Demands-Resources model helps explain why two people doing the same job can have very different experiences. It is not just about how hard the tasks are, but rather about what support the organization gives to deal with different kinds of difficulties. This framework will guide the rest of the analysis in this thesis and will provide a structure to be able to understand how different types of organizational support reduce burnout and how they promote well-being at work.

3.2 Causes and Consequences of Burnout

Burnout often appears from a combination of work pressures that over time exhaust employees and their emotional and cognitive resources. The original definition by Maslach and Jackson (1981) emphasized that frequent exposure to emotionally demanding situations ends up leading to emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment. In current approaches, burnout is viewed as the result of constant interpersonal and organizational stressors that affect how well employees handle pressure (Maslach & Leiter, 2016).

Amongst the primary causes of burnout identified in the literature are excessive workloads, lack of control, insufficient rewards, breakdowns in community, absence of fairness, and clashes between personal and organizational values (Leiter & Maslach, 2009; Schaufeli & Taris, 2014). Within the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model, these are understood as job demands that require constant effort and, when not balanced by enough resources, they increase the likelihood of risk of burnout (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). A result of this imbalance is emotional exhaustion, which is recognized as one of the main components that lead to burnout. When employees are exposed to strong demands without

support, such as autonomy, feedback, recognition, or peer support, they begin to feel emotionally drained and tired, which then leads to work detachment and a reduced sense of personal accomplishment. The literature review suggests that emotional exhaustion is not simply a result of doing too much at work, but rather a result of doing too much work without enough resources to sustain and protect their well-being.

The consequences of burnout are serious for individuals and for organizations. At an individual level, burnout is associated with mental health issues such as depression and anxiety, as well as physical health problems, including cardiovascular diseases (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). At an organizational level, burnout leads to lower productivity, greater employee absence rates, reduced commitment, and increased turnover rates, ultimately affecting the overall effectiveness of the organization (Schaufeli, 2017).

Thus, understanding both the causes and consequences of burnout is essential for developing effective prevention strategies that prioritize creating supportive resources and improving employee wellness and health.

3.3 The Role of Organizational Support in Preventing Burnout

In the JD-R model, organizational support is defined as a crucial resource that helps mitigate the negative effects caused by high job demands (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Organizational support can be classified as emotional, instrumental, or structural. Each type of support plays a unique role when it comes to promoting employee resilience and prosperity within teams.

Emotional support involves empathy, recognition, and understanding from work supervisors and colleagues. The research indicates that perceived emotional support reduces feelings of isolation and increases the sense of belonging for employees, which consequently reduces emotional exhaustion (Chiaburu & Harrison, 2008). On the other hand, instrumental support includes providing the necessary tools, training, and assistance to help employees manage their tasks effectively and efficiently. Studies

show that when employees feel they have access to sufficient instrumental resources, they are less likely to feel overwhelmed by their assigned job demands (Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2018).

Finally, structural support refers to the broader organizational policies and cultural practices that shape the overall experience for employees. These include work-life balance initiatives, fair workload distribution, flexible schedules if possible, and involving employees in decisions that affect them directly (Schaufeli, 2017). Studies show that these forms of support improve employee engagement and prevent the development of different burnout symptoms (Bakker, Demerouti, & Sanz-Vergel, 2014).

Moreover, the perception of organizational support refers to how much employees believe their organization values their contributions and cares about their quality of life. This perception has been shown to play a role i how job demands turn into burnout (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014). Supportive workplaces are known for having lower levels of burnout and stronger levels of commitment, even when job demands remain very intense or high.

3.4 Burnout and Organizational Support Across Sectors

Research has demonstrated that the experience of burning out and the effectiveness of organizational support can vary depending on each specific industry and work context. For instance, healthcare professionals, specifically nurses and physicians, often encounter extremely high emotional situations and demands, which makes emotional and structural support fundamental for preventing burnout (Leiter & Maslach, 2009). The same goes for the education sector: when teachers feel overwhelmed by administrative tasks and emotional demands, burnout becomes more likely to occur. However, support from the organization, in this case, schools, can help relieve some of that pressure (Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2018).

In the technology sector, if rapid innovation cycles and specific performance expectations are not properly balanced with support and flexibility, employees will eventually reach burnout over time (Schaufeli, 2017). Although the JD-R model can be applied across all industries, the types of resources

and the forms of support that employees actually need can vary depending on the necessities of each specific sector.

3.5 Gaps and Contradictions in the Literature

Although the Job Demands-Resources model and organizational support literature are well-developed, certain gaps and contradictions remain. First, emotional, instrumental, and structural support are generally found to be beneficial; still, some studies suggest that support is not equally effective for all employees. Some important factors like individual coping mechanisms, cultural differences, and specific employee needs can influence how organizational support is perceived and how effective it actually is (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014).

Secondly, most of the research focuses on short-term outcomes to quickly decrease emotional exhaustion. However, there is still relatively less research on the long-term impacts of organizational efforts on career development, job satisfaction, and overall health (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). Furthermore, organizational support is often discussed in general terms, but only a few studies are able to distinguish between the types of support or examine how effective they are.

Finally, there is a strong need for more long-term and research specific to each sector to see how burnout prevention efforts change over time and how workplace context affects the balance between job demands and the available resources (Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2018). Recognizing these limitations in the literature can help guide future research and help organizations create more effective support strategies that, as a result, will have a bigger organizational impact.

Chapter 4: Methodology and Analytical Approach

4.1 Research Approach

This thesis uses a literature-based research approach, focusing on a structured review and integration of previous academic sources. Instead of collecting primary data through surveys, interviews, or experiments, the study is based on peer-reviewed journal articles, meta-analyses, and theoretical papers to explore the relationship between organizational support and preventing burnout using the framework of the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model.

The literature-based methodology is appropriate for this research because burnout and organizational support have been widely studied, providing valuable content and knowledge to analyze. Furthermore, because the dynamics of workplaces can be complicated, observing the literature from different perspectives gives us a better overall picture and a more comprehensive understanding of the topic.

4.2 Source Selection Criteria

All the sources included in this thesis were selected based on several clear criterias. First of all, the selected literature consists of peer-reviewed academic publications. This ensures that the work has a high level of scholarly credibility. Likewise, preference was given to sources published in important journals of organizational psychology, management, human resources, and occupational health.

Second, sources were selected based on their relevance to the research question. Only studies and theoretical articles that directly address burnout, organizational support, employee well-being, and/or the JD-R model were included. Key sources, such as Demerouti et al. (2001) and Bakker and Demerouti (2007), were prioritized when working on the main concepts and frameworks for this thesis. More recent empirical studies and meta-analyses, such as Salmela-Aro and Upadyaya (2018) and Schaufeli (2017), were also included in the literature to reflect recent developments or events, and how these can be applied in different professional contexts.

Third, sources were selected, making sure of diversity in perspectives and contexts. The final list of references includes 11 scholarly sources including theoretical foundations, empirical findings, updated definitions of burnout, and specific observations made in some industries. All of this allows for a more complete review and analysis of the literature.

4.3 Analysis and Synthesis Method

The analysis was based on identifying common themes across all the selected sources. To organize the analysis, the chosen studies were grouped into three main areas: definitions and causes of burnout, the role of organizational support, and the application of the JD-R model. Within each area, the most important takeaways were compared to identify common patterns and possible contradictions.

This review also analyzed how different studies described and applied organizational support, whether that meant emotional support from colleagues, practical help like instrumental resources or specialized training, or broader organizational policies regarding employee autonomy and fairness in workplaces. Research from diverse fields like healthcare, education, and technology was also analyzed to better understand the relationships between job demands, support, and well-being, and how these can vary depending on the work context.

This thematic synthesis approach made it easier to go beyond just summarizing what the different studies say. The literature was organized around key themes, such as the causes of burnout, the impact of organizational support, and the influence of leadership and the workplace culture. This approach made it easier to identify and compare how researchers approach the topic and where they agree or differ. It also helped to identify patterns that are not always obvious when analyzing single studies; for example, how feeling supported by the organization is considered more important than formal company policies, or how burnout looks different depending on the industry sector. Overall, this research approach allowed for a thoughtful discussion that addresses the research question of this thesis.

4.4 Limitations

Like with any research methodology, the literature-based approach has some limitations. One limitation of this study is its based on data collected by others instead of collecting new information. This makes it more difficult to explore new and modern perspectives or even analyze specific work environments. Since the analysis is based on existing research, the conclusions depend on how other researchers have interpreted their own findings. This also raises the issue of publication bias, since studies with more significant results are more likely to be published, while others might be missed or neglected.

Second, even though the goal was to include a wide range of sources, the selection process still had some limitations. Most of the literature reviewed comes from studies published in English and accessed through specific academic databases, which means that relevant research in other languages or different sources of information may have been missed. Also, while the studies cover a variety of different sectors and organizational settings, they still cannot reflect all the diverse work environments. This is considered especially for rapidly changing industries like technology, where conditions change quickly and are not always captured in the academic literature.

Nonetheless, the careful selection of sources and the use of thematic synthesis support the reliability and relevance of the findings, providing a strong foundation for the analysis presented in all the chapters of this thesis.

Chapter 5: Analysis and Discussion

5.1 How Different Types of Organizational Support Help Prevent Burnout

The literature shows that different forms of organizational support reduce different dimensions of burnout. Emotional support, which includes empathy, encouragement, and recognition from supervisors and colleagues, has been shown to reduce emotional exhaustion, one of the main aspects of burnout (Chiaburu & Harrison, 2008; Schaufeli, 2017). If employees feel emotionally supported, they show lower stress levels and have a stronger sense of belonging, even under intense work pressures. This kind of support does not require very formal programs; it can come from simple, everyday interactions, including regular check-ins from a manager, receiving feedback, or simply feeling like contributions are heard and valued.

The amount of emotional support needed varies depending on the person and the situation, but it is especially important in roles that are emotionally demanding or receive constant high pressures. In those specific cases, collaborative work environments with open communication can offer a support system that reduces the impact of causes of stress (Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2018). Leaders also influence how emotional support is perceived by setting an example of what is considered acceptable, whether vulnerability is seen as a weakness, or whether speaking up is encouraged.

On the other hand, instrumental support is about providing employees with what they need to do their jobs correctly. This includes access to resources, training, guidance, and clear processes. It is often the responsibility of leadership to ensure that these supports are available and relevant. When instrumental support is strong, employees manage to meet the expected results without feeling extremely overwhelmed. On the contrary, when this support is lacking, such as when workloads increase without any additional guidance or resources, stress and frustration tend to rise quickly. According to Salmela-Aro and Upadyaya (2018), insufficient instrumental support can negatively affect even the most motivated employees, especially when job demands remain very high or intense.

Finally, structural support refers to broader organizational systems and policies, such as fair distribution of work, flexibility in scheduling, and participation when making decisions, which influence both employee exhaustion and cynicism (Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2018). Companies that back up their support with clear policies, instead of depending only on personal relationships, tend to create more resilient and strong work environments.

Along these lines, a multidimensional approach to organizational support is essential. Emotional, instrumental, and structural resources target different aspects of burnout and collectively contribute to both employee and organizational welfare.

5.2 The Role of Leadership, Culture, and Management Practices

Leadership and organizational culture play a major role in whether support efforts actually succeed or fail. One thing is for an organization to offer mental health programs or flexible hours, but the everyday behavior of managers and leaders often determines whether employees feel those resources are real and available. Transformational leadership involves showing genuine interest in employees, actually recognizing their efforts, and supporting them on a personal level, and it has been directly linked to lowering burnout and higher employee engagement (Schaufeli, 2017). When leaders take the time to understand what their teams need and lead by setting good examples, especially around things like setting boundaries or promoting fairness, support strategies are more likely to succeed. On the other hand, when leadership is inconsistent or distant, support systems that come from good intentions can even fail. Culture and leadership have to work together to shape whether employees trust their organizations enough to actually use the support offered to them.

On the contrary, authoritarian and laissez-faire leadership styles both tend to weaken the effect of support strategies, even when those strategies are strategically planned. Authoritarian leaders often rely on control and pressure, which makes employees feel constantly monitored and afraid to speak up. Laissez-faire leadership avoids getting directly involved or making decisions, which can leave employees feeling unsupported or unsure of where to go for support. In both cases, the result is often

the same: uncertainty, unfairness, and a sense of the employee being on their own. This kind of environment makes it harder for people to access or even trust the available support.

Leaders have a big influence on whether support is actually felt by employees. They play a direct role by recognizing efforts, listening to concerns, or simply being present and consistent. They also shape how structural support is experienced; things like workload, flexibility, and how much space people have to set boundaries. When leadership is inconsistent, even the best support policies can fail. In the end, support should not only exist; it should also be included by leaders on a daily basis.

Organizational culture is also important. Cultures that prioritize trust, transparency, and the participation of employees tend to produce stronger perceptions of support (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). On the other hand, cultures focused on short-term productivity without actual concern for employee welfare end up creating conditions where support strategies do not exist or are seen as superficial.

Management practices like flexible working arrangements, mental health resources, professional development opportunities, and clear communication channels have been identified as critical in preventing burnout (Demerouti et al., 2001; Schaufeli & Taris, 2014). However, these practices need to be consistently applied in order for them to be effective.

5.3 Success Stories vs. Superficial Organizational Support

Not all organizational support is equally effective, and the literature makes it clear that there is a big difference between support that is genuinely incorporated into an organization's culture and support seen as superficial. In some cases, companies implement programs that seem genuine, such as offering mental health apps, yoga sessions, or occasional wellness days, but still, they do not fully address the causes of burnout. These include excessive amounts of workload, lack of employee autonomy, or ineffective management. These kinds of superficial efforts may be more about public image for the company or quick fixes, rather than actual concern for employee well-being, and when employees recognize this gap, it can create even more frustration and cynicism (Maslach & Leiter, 2016).

In contrast, successful support strategies tend to focus on more on structural changes instead of occasional initiatives. For example, in intense environments like healthcare, studies have shown that real improvements came from actions such as adjusting the ratio of nurses to patients, improving communication across teams, and involving staff when making decisions (Leiter & Maslach, 2009). In these cases, burnout rates dropped because employees felt heard and supported in ways that impacted their daily work.

Another key factor in the success of support initiatives is how leadership and management engage with them. If leaders actively support and model healthy practices, including respecting time boundaries, ongoing conversations with employees, and adapting workloads when demands increase, then employees are more likely to trust and engage with the support systems in place (Schaufeli, 2017). On the other hand, when behavior from leaders is not aligned with what the organization promotes, any support offered can feel empty or not genuine. An example of this would be encouraging mental health while still encouraging excessive amounts of workloads.

How employees see things and their perception of the organization play an important role here. Employees don't just notice the support initiatives available; they also pay attention to how well and consistently those programs are put into practice. When support is seen as genuine, it builds trust and a culture of mutual respect. When it feels performative or inconsistent, it can actually go wrong, making employees less likely to reach out to leaders when they need help (Chiaburu & Harrison, 2008; Schaufeli & Taris, 2014).

5.4 Key Patterns and Insights from the Literature

Across the literature, organizational support is consistently associated with lower levels of burnout, but not all types of support have the same impact. Some resources of organizational support appear to be more effective than others, depending on the kind of demands the employees are facing in the work environment they are part of.

In the first place, emotional support, which includes recognition, listening, and empathy from supervisors or work colleagues, is shown to be key for preventing emotional burnout (Chiaburu & Harrison, 2008; Maslach & Leiter, 2016). This means that when employees feel seen and supported on a personal level, they are more likely to deal effectively with stress and maintain engagement at work. This kind of support does not require a big structural change, but it does depend on having a work culture of trust and clear communication.

Then, instrumental resources, like training, proper equipment, or assistance with heavy workloads, are also crucial. These resources help employees complete their daily tasks more effectively and contribute to them having a stronger sense of personal accomplishment (Bakker, Demerouti, & Sanz-Vergel, 2014). For example, in sectors with high workloads or constant changes, such as healthcare or tech, employees often show less exhaustion when they have clear task support and the tools they need to work efficiently (Leiter & Maslach, 2009).

Next, structural support, which includes things like allowing flexibility, participation of employees when making decisions, and fair distribution of work, seems to offer more support across all three aspects of burnout (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014; Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2018). This type of support shapes most of the conditions of the work environment and reflects the values of the organization.

Research also shows that what employees perceive matters even more than what official policies state; this means that employees notice if support is offered consistently and genuinely (Schaufeli, 2017). This is especially true for emotional and structural resources, which are harder to measure but have a strong effect on how supported workers feel.

While all types of support are valuable, research suggests that structural and emotional resources often make the biggest difference in preventing burnout. Things like a flexible schedule or fair workload distribution can help relieve pressure across the whole team of employees. Meanwhile, consistent emotional support from leadership helps build trust and creates a work culture where people feel safe asking for help. Finally, instrumental support is also essential, but it is not considered to be enough if the organization lacks emotional or structural support.

When it comes to what works best for organizations, the literature chosen suggests several different practices that consistently reduce employee burnout and promote balance at work. One of the most important is keeping the amount of workloads fair and expectations realistic. This means not only distributing tasks evenly across teams and workers, but also ensuring that the amount of work assigned is actually manageable within the time, tools, and resources available to them. When employees feel overwhelmed for too long or unsure of what is expected from them, they are more likely to feel emotionally drained (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). Managers can help prevent this by regularly checking in with their teams, being transparent about the priorities, and adjusting goals when necessary. Workload by itself is not the core problem; what matters is whether individuals are given the time and support to actually manage the workload well. When those things are missing, the pressure in workplaces builds up and burnout becomes more likely to occur.

Another key strategy to consider is to train work leaders to provide both emotional and practical support consistently. Employees look to their managers not only for instructions but also for encouragement, recognition, and understanding. Leaders who actively listen, respond with empathy, and offer clear guidance help create a more stable and trusting work environment. On the practical side, this includes making sure employees have the tools, information, and good feedback they need to help them succeed. Schaufeli (2017) mentions that the way in which leaders behave, both in the way they relate to others and how they handle problems, can either reinforce or weaken the support efforts from the organization. Managers are more effective when they are trained to communicate, be emotionally aware, and adapt to the specific situations and challenges their teams are facing.

The third recommendation is to involve employees in decisions that affect their daily work. This does not mean giving all the responsibilities to the employees, but instead, including them in conversations and discussions about processes, priorities, and challenges. The research shows that when employees feel heard and included, they are more motivated and experience lower levels of stress (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Involvement of employees can happen in a lot of different ways; for example, through regular team meetings, anonymous feedback tools, or project planning sessions where ideas are genuinely considered. The act of employees being included increases their sense of autonomy and

control, which are both important resources in the JD-R model and play an important role in preventing burnout.

Finally, organizations should foster a culture where asking for help and setting boundaries is seen as acceptable and encouraged. Many employees fear that speaking up about stress or refusing extra work could be judged negatively or considered a lack of commitment. To change that mindset, organizations need clear policies and consistent leadership behaviors. Leaders need to set the example of healthy boundaries themselves; for example, taking breaks, disconnecting after work, and openly supporting others who do the same. Salmela-Aro and Upadyaya (2018) emphasize that work cultures built on psychological health make it easier for employees to be honest and sincere about what they need. If asking for support is part of the culture and normalized, employees are more likely to reach out early and speak about their issues sooner, which can help prevent stress from building into burnout.

To conclude, what seems to work best is a combination of all the support types that are aligned with the organization's culture and the needs of employees. Ultimately, the most successful approaches are not necessarily the most complex ones, but rather the ones that feel genuine and are actually used.

5.5 Critical Discussion

The research shows us a strong foundation to be able to understand how organizational support can help prevent employee burnout. However, there are still some areas that are not well understood or researched. One of the most important research gaps is assuming that all support strategies work in the same way for all industries. In reality, what works best depends on the type of work people do and how the organization is set up.

For example, in the healthcare industry, burnout is often associated with emotional exhaustion due to dealing with patients, having long work shifts, and constant exposure to strong traumas. Therefore, in that context, emotional and structural support, including conversations amongst peers or more balanced work schedules, can be very important (Leiter & Maslach, 2009). In other sectors like technology, burnout has more to do with pressure to perform well, working alone, or unclear work expectations. In

those cases, instrumental support like having better communication, access to the right tools, and realistic deadlines matter more (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014). It is important to acknowledge that the same resources will not have the same impact in every setting or context.

Cultural differences is another area that needs more research. Most of the studies analyzed in this thesis were made in Western, English speaking countries. But work practices and attitudes towards support and mental health can look different depending on the culture. For example, in some cultures, employees may be afraid to show their burnout symptoms or ask for help, which means that support needs to be offered and presented in ways that are thoughtful and sensitive (Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2018).

There is also limited research on how other factors like gender and age influence the way in which support is perceived by workers, and what kind of support is the most helpful for them. For example, some studies suggest that women experience burnout differently; they often deal with more emotional exhaustion and different pressures around their work-life balance (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). On the other hand, younger workers value having flexibility and autonomy more, while older employees prioritize having job stability and recognition of their performance. These differences are very important but are usually not taken as key considerations.

Finally, there is still not enough long-term research on burnout and organizational support. Most of the studies in this thesis offer interesting and valuable insights, but they are only relevant in specific time periods or contexts. We are still missing a better view on how support strategies will work in the long run, including whether their impact will last, fade, or change as other factors like leadership, workloads, or stressors can also vary over time.

Chapter 6: Conclusion and Recommendations

Burnout is no longer considered just a personal issue but an organizational one. This thesis has explored how support in different work environments, using the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model, can help avoid employees reaching burnout and improve their well-being at work. The most important findings show that organizational support does matter, but also, not all forms of support are equally effective and always depend on the context.

The strategies that can achieve the most impact are a combination of emotional, instrumental, and structural support. However, if an organization has limited resources and can only prioritize one of these, the research suggests that investing in forms of structural support may be the most effective. As mentioned previously, adjusting workloads, being flexible, and involving employees in decisions reduces high job demands. Also, these help improve workload fairness, autonomy, and trust in work environments, all being factors shown to lower burnout effects (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007; Schaufeli & Taris, 2014). Structural support changes the conditions and environment in which employee burnout characteristics develop by creating a strong foundation where other forms of support can be added later on.

Therefore, emotional support should not be ignored or overlooked. Every small action, for example, receiving recognition from a manager or having open communication within a team, can have a big impact on how supported and protected the employees feel (Maslach & Leiter, 2016; Chiaburu & Harrison, 2008). These kinds of actions do not require major resources or a very big budget, but they do depend on the leadership and culture of the firm. For organizations with lower budgets for resources, this thesis suggests focusing mainly on how leaders behave and encourage support in teams to make a real and sustainable impact.

Human resource professionals and organizational leaders have to take an active role when it comes to designing support systems that align with the job demands and the needs of employees. An important thing to do is to train managers in leadership that prioritizes empathy and making sure the work is fairly distributed. The way support is perceived and experienced by employees is mainly influenced by their

leaders, who are often the first point of contact when dealing with different types of situations. Managers who are good listeners, provide constant feedback, and know how to distribute tasks fairly during stressful periods create a work environment that feels fair and supportive, which has been shown to lower burnout possibilities (Chiaburu & Harrison, 2008; Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2018).

Another important recommendation to consider is to ensure that the work expectations are realistic and clearly communicated to everyone in the team. Several studies show that when employees are not sure what is expected from them, are assigned goals that seem truly impossible to reach, or do not receive useful feedback, it can end up leading to high levels of stress or emotional exhaustion (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014). One of the most effective ways to reduce work pressures is by providing clear expectations and tasks from the beginning, as well as allowing for flexibility when possible. As a result, employees can manage their responsibilities in a way that works for them, and when employees feel supported and comfortable setting their boundaries, they are more likely to stay engaged at work and avoid burning out in the future.

Additionally, organizations should encourage support and collaboration amongst colleagues. This can be achieved by creating spaces and activities where team members can connect formally and informally. Research shows that having a good relationship with coworkers can really help people feel supported emotionally, and when it comes to getting their work done, especially when the job itself is intense or stressful (Chiaburu & Harrison, 2008). When teams work closely and members mentor each other, it creates a stronger work atmosphere where challenges are solved collectively rather than individually.

Finally, another important recommendation is to make sure to involve employees in building the needed support systems, instead of using the same approach or solution in every situation. Employees usually know what kind of support helps them with their daily work tasks. When workers are included in making decisions on how feedback should be shared, how workloads should be divided, or what kind of well-being initiatives should be prioritized. This results in organizations creating better and more efficient solutions that workers will actually use and value. If employees are involved during any of these

practices, it is more likely that the support strategies will be more relevant and sustainable over time (Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2018).

For policymakers and organizational leaders, it is important to recognize that mental health should not be treated as a luxury. Mental health affects how people perform and stay healthy. Work policies that encourage fair work conditions, psychological safety, and access to mental health resources are essential and should be a basic part of any job.

Nonetheless, this thesis also has limitations. Since this study is based on existing research, it may not represent with precision the reality for employees working in specific industries or cultural settings. Most of the sources came from Western countries, so the findings might not apply everywhere else, especially in places with different work cultures or economic conditions. Also, many of the studies reviewed were made looking at one specific point in time, which makes it harder to understand how organizational support impacts employee behavior in the long term.

Future research and studies should take into consideration more work settings and different cultural backgrounds, since the impact of support can vary depending on the industry, role, or region. It is also important to look at how other factors, such as gender or age, affect what kind of support is the most helpful and effective. Therefore, studies that follow burnout and support over time could give us a better understanding of how to build sustainable support systems.

To conclude, preventing burnout is not about having one perfect policy or support program, it is about creating a work environment where people feel valued and can succeed without forgetting about their mental and physical health. Working on organizational support is not just about preventing burnout, it is also about committing to treating employees as human beings who deserve proper care. That starts with the choices and examples given by the leaders daily.

References

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands-Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 22*(3), 309–328. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242339416_The_Job_Demands-Resources_Model_State_of_the_Art

Chiaburu, D. S., & Harrison, D. A. (2008). Do peers make the place? Conceptual synthesis and meta-analysis of coworker effects on perceptions, attitudes, OCBs, and performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 93*(5), 1082–1103. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18808227/

Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. *American Psychologist, 44*(3), 513–524. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2648906/

Leiter, M. P., & Maslach, C. (2009). Nurse turnover: The mediating role of burnout. *Journal of Nursing Management, 17*(3), 331–339. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19426369/

Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. E. (1981). The measurement of experienced burnout. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 2*(2), 99–113. https://www.jstor.org/stable/3000281

Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry, 15*(2), 103–111. hhttps://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4911781/

Moss, J. (2019, December 11). Burnout is about your workplace, not your people. *Harvard Business Review*. https://hbr.org/2019/12/burnout-is-about-your-workplace-not-your-people

Salmela-Aro, K., & Upadyaya, K. (2018). Role of demands-resources in work engagement and burnout in different career stages. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 108*, 190–200. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2018-47285-016